G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1908
(Mezrai)

File No. 672

Serial Nos. 1–18

Papers Filed.

Month June 1912

SUBJECT.

Archakship of Sri Kannikaparameswari temple at Chitaldrug.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

44-02-

LATER REFERENCES.

N Dasappa
Recorder
9-13

G R S 11]

1909.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mazrai)

NOTES.

File No. 672/08

FILE HEADING.

Archakship of Shri Kamikaparameshwari temple, Chitaldrug.

From

Narasajyoshya and his uncle Chinnabhatta

No. Dated 4.5.'09 Received 7.5.09.

Diary No. 1479	Enclos.	Spare Copies	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Pray, that the Archakship which was theirs by hereditary right and transferred to others by the Dist Authorities, may be restored to them, and that the salary (in arrears) due to them, may be granted them also.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Subd with a draft: DSRm 21.5.09

May the Petrs be informed that he has a appeal to the D/Commr agst the Amildar's orders? The D/Commr's End is not forthcoming? Compliance

Notes—First sheet 11/5/09

GPB—30,000—3-1-07

File No. 672 of 1908

Serial No.	
2	To, The Dy Commr, Chidambaram Divn O m. no 2128 Dt 5.6.09 Forwards Sl 1 for report To, Narasa gowda and channa Bhatta Encld no 2129 Dt 5.6.09 Intimates the above
3.	From, The Dy. Commr, Chidambaram Divn Letter no 91 Dt 25.8.09 Submits Report called for in Sl 2
Sl 3	Submitted with a draft AD Sd/- illegible Sd/- illegible
4	To, The Inam Supdt Docket no 690 Dt 3-9-09 Calls for an extract of the Ready money Inam register pertaining to the grant of a cash grant to the Sri Kannika Parameswaraswamy temple
5	From, The Same officer, Letter no 28 Dt 9-9-09 Forwards the extract refd to in Sl. 4 The 3 Pagodas of Kannika Parameswara, Iswara and Janardhana are situated in a big temple at Chidambaram They are munz. usbn

Note—Contn sheet

GPB—50 000—13-5 08

File No. 672 of 1906

Serial No.

of every year, it was manual for them to get Utsava conducted (ಮೇಲಕರಣೆಯ ಅಷ್ಟಬಂಧ ಕಟ್ಟಿ ಮಂತ್ರ 10 ದಿವಸ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಮತ್ತು ಈ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಮತ್ತೆ ಕೆಲವು ಜನ ಬೇಕು) that the Archak was not willing to do so and praying that the Barawasdar Archak might be appointed ~~in~~ to perform duties.

The Amildar ordered this petition to be filed with the remark that it was the out-come of ill-will and that Bhanna Bhatta was performing the duties regularly.

Hagiri) On 23rd September 1903 Venkatagiri Gowd complained to the Dy. Commr. that as usual, after Nityakarthe Puje on the 1st day of Navarathri of that year, he had locked the door, that Bhaskaracharya Kesava Swamy, Guru of the Vaishya community who was in the temple asked him to give away the Deity for worship by the Guru along with other Vigrahas in the Navarathri Mandapa in the temple but he did not agree to it as it was against manual, that with the help of Yejaman Subrayappa,

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

the Temple was broken into and the Vaishya Guru took unlawful possession of the Deity and was worshipping it.

The Amildar was directed to enquire into the matter and after examining a number of witnesses, he has submitted a detailed report dy 3-12-08 which clearly explains the facts of the case.

Sreenivasa Deekshitu, Pujari of Iswara devaru — an old man of 80 — states that the key of the Temple used to be with the Archak, and that during Hatchukatte days, the Vaishya Guru was at times performing the Puje.

Subrahmanya Shastry, Mantra pushpa man of Kannika Parameswari Temple contradicts him by saying that he has seen the Archak performing the Puje on occasions when Vaishya Guru happened to be present in the temple

The Hy. Vaishya Guru and Selly have stated that Kannika Parameswari is their tutelary deity, that the Temple was originally —

GPB—50,000—16-5-08

Serial No.

constructed by Vaishyas, that the temple key used to be with Vaishya Settaru, that when their Guru is present, he himself performs Puje and that complaints against them are the out-come of ill-will of the Archak for his removal from Purohitya.

The Amildar reports that as the petr failed to allow the Vaishya Guru to do Puje, the Vaishyas have removed him from office, taken possession of the temple and have been getting the Puje performed by some other man, as petr having failed to enter upon his duties even after Navaratri and adds that the petr was not therefore paid the cash grant.

(Reg Sl)

In his Hukum dy 2-2-1904 the then Dy. Commr Mr Ramanujiengar observed that Vaishyas were at fault when they appointed their own Pujari without Govt orders, that it was a mistake on their part to have independantly removed the deity during Navaratri and given it away to their Guru for worship

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—15-5-08

ue

at.

File No. 672 of 190 8

Serial No.

and directed that as agreed to by Setty Subrayappa, Venkatagiri jois should be permitted to continue in office

Flaw 4) On 8th Feby. 1904, Kasa Anantappa and other Vaishyas petd the Dy Commr to the following effect:—

1) that they were getting puje performed at their cost;

2) that they had appointed any number of Pujaries before,

3) that Venkatagiri jois was not the Archak appointed by govt,

4) that there was mis-understanding between them which might result in the missing of temple jewels,

5) that the present man should be not be allowed to continue as he was not performing his duties properly. Hereg--prayed that their nominee might be appointed as Pujari; and

6) that they had already petd in 1899 to the Amildar on the subject

The matter was refd to the Amildar

Flaw 5) Setti Subrayappa deposes that if other yajamans agreed to have Venkatagiri jois as Pujari, he had no objection

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—18-5-06

S. 121
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. ~~627~~ 672 of 1908

Serial No.

The Amildar reported that Baramanda Daklas were forthcoming to show that channa Bhatta was the Pujari and that Venkatagiri Jois was also doing the duties but no Sunt orders were available. In the matter of the latter's Pujaritha

In their Statement of 17th May 1909

Flag P) Vaishya yajamans say that on account of disputes, they were not prepared to have Narasappa or channa Bhatta

channa Bhatta deposes that

Flag N) for the past 30 or 35 years, he has been doing Puje for Narasa Jois, that Venkatagiri Jois is his brother's son, that during his absence, he had permitted the latter to work for him and that he was regular in the performance of Puje work.

Narasa Jois has deposed that

Flag O) he is the registered Archak, that as he was a minor his uncle was working for him and prayed for permission to enter upon his duties.

He has produced the under-mentioned documents:—

1) copy of Petition of 2-3-1858 ad-

Flag P) dressed by his father Ramma Jois

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5 08

Serial No.

stating that his father had died (Chinna gois)
and praying that the Archakship
may be made out in his name,

Flag W) 2) order of the late chief Commr
J. Cunningham Dy 23-6-1858 appointing
his father as Archak,

Flag C) 3) Copy of order Dy 1883 appointing
(minor) Narasa gois as Pujari

On 9th April 1904, the Vaishyas
of Tirunganur who are also devotees
(Flag X) of this Temple petd the local authority
stating that they were also making
presents etc to the temple and complained
that Venkatasawmappa who was appointed
as Pujari by Vaishyas was under
excomn and prayed that Channabhatta
and Venkatagiri gois might be
permitted to continue ~~of~~ in office

Flag Y) Venkatasawmappa deposed that
it was not he but some Rangappa
that had been excommunicated and that
at the request of the Vaishyas of
Chitaldrug Town, he was doing Puje.

The Dy Commr (Mr. Bhengeah Setty) has ordered that
Narasa gois, the hereditary Archak,
although he has attained majority several
years ago, has not taken charge of

GPD—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

his duties and that his claim to the Pujari
Haq 4,1) office having become time-barred, he
should be removed, and that Channa-
bhatta's Gymnasiarchship having terminated,
he should not be allowed to interfere
and that the Vaishyas' nominee —
Venkatanarasappa should be appointed
Pujari.

Against these orders, Narasa Jois
Haq 4,1) and Channa Bhatta have appealed to Govt.
Submitted for orders
AV
22.10.09

There is no dispute about the right of the
appellants. The work is admitted one
Venkatagiri Jois cousin of appellant Narasa Jois
and nephew of appellant Channa Bhatta was
also performing Pooja and made himself ob-
noxious to the Vaishyas who are the only devotees
of Kamakka Parameswari. As the Vaishyas
* Subs 3) for whom he was to worship. He said that they
were wrong in appointing a man and that the
right of appointment vested only in the Govt.
** Subs 4) Though the Vaishyas object Venkatagiri Jois, so far
*** Subs B) as I can see they have nothing to say against
except that he is an absentee. That objection is untenable
the 1st appellant. The Dt. Court's decision that Narasa
Jois' right is time barred and that Channa Bhatta's
right did not Govt does not Cannot, I think, be

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—18-5 08

Serial No.

justified - We are to advise accordingly
anybody. The misunderstanding between the
Brahmins and Vaniyas is at the bottom of this
dispute. The Vaniyas want a complaisant
Brahmin as their Anjuri. The women of the
* Slip x,) Chitaldroog Vaniyas are not acceptable to the Vaniyas
here.
of adjoining Villages
~~The case is not free from~~

* Slip, 2,) As reported by the Amildar it seems true that during
Navarathra the Vaniya goes in procession and Worship
himself and that the refusal of the Brahmin
authorities to permit this at the Darsan of cars
has given offence to the Vaniyas.

The case is not free from difficulty It would
be hard to insist on the Anjuri devotees a person
whom they do not like. It would not be same
time not do to allow the devotees to do what
they like -

We are also complained against having been
compelled in many cases to appeal against
the act of Trustee.

* Slip 5) We are advised by Mr Ramanuja Ayyar
who has called on us that he wanted to effect an
amicable settlement
between the parties Abandoned
2/4 16 11 1909

2182 There is apparently nothing.

GPB—50,000—15.5 08

ue

Mms File No. ~~625~~ 672 of 190 8

Serial No.

to show that the appellant was asked to take charge directly of the office and failed wantonly to do so. It is difficult to see how he could be dismissed, or how if the appointment was not usually made by the members of the Community, it could now be declared to be held at their choice.

Issue notice to the Appellant and the respondents & post the case for hearing before me

(I do not think that the rules of limitation can be applied in their full extent to these appeals. Even if they are applicable, the petition was received here on 7 May 1909, and the Asst's endorsement to the Appellant informing him of the Dy C's order was issued only in the previous month (3 Apr 1909)

Post for hearing on 11th June
8/11/09

9/11/09

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5 08

Gen S ue Trans File No. 672 of 1908

Serial No.

Draft notices submitted

Issue

Instd A.S
20/11/09

The Respt Venkatanarnappa absent and prays for an adjournment on account of illness

Appellant Narasayya present and — chamier Bhatta absent.

Case adjourned to

Under-Secretary
15/12/09

Received from the 11th 6/1/10

Submitted with draft notices fixing the 19th Jany 1910 for hearing as directed by the Committee

Issue
SJ
8/1/1910

6/1/10

Parties not served Case adjd to 21st inst for issue notices

SJ
19/1/1910

Issue
SJ
21/1/1910

21/1/10

Mr. Krishnamurthi appears for Counter/Respt & asks for some time as they have Vaisyas wish to make a representation

The matter will be taken up on 2nd March.

2/2/10

Note—Counter sheet

S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Mug File No. 672 of 190 8

Serial No.

6. From, D K Narayana Chetty and other Vaishya residents of Chittoor

Petition Dt 2-3-10

State that they would rather put up with the discontinuance of the Jasdik paid by Govt to the temple than permitting the appellants who are inimical to them, to continue in office and pray that their nominee may be confirmed in the appointment

Submitted for orders with Dft. notice.

4/3/10

Approved notice issued the case is adjourned to the 18th instant, as the Councillor was otherwise engaged on the 2nd

5/3/1910

By order,

Petr not present, as he represents that he is ill

Order.

Though it is difficult to accede to the contention that the Members of the Caste should themselves of their own authority appoint

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—55,000—27 4-09

Serial No.

the
& Sujan in a Muz institution,
there is no doubt that it is,
~~then~~ desirable that he should
be a man acceptable to the
devotees as a body. The
Dy Cr will therefore be re-
quested to make an attempt
to bring about an amicable
understanding between the
Contending parties, and to de-
cide the case accg to their a-
greement. If he finds it
impracticable, a report may
again be sent up to Govt.

17/ 18/3/10

454 Draft proceedings to Land Co-ordn
Thanks referred in the draft is in file No. 414 of 1902
Adv Member Jy-
Secretariat

19/3/1910
draft appd by Secy
21/3/10

24/

7

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

and Revenue Secretariat.

2299

Revenue File No. 692 of 1908

Serial No.	
7	To, the Dy Commr, Chitaldrug Distt Letter no 2416 Dy. 28.3.09.10. Directs that an attempt be made to bring about an amicable understanding between the contending parties and to decide the case according to their agreement and that if this should be found impracticable, a report might be sent up to Govt
8.	From, the Dy. Commr, Chitaldrug Letter no 468 Dy 8th June 10. Reports that the Amildar has been directed to effect an amicable understanding between the parties and solicits orders to disburse the arrears of pay to the Archak actually doing the duties in the Temple. Submitted for orders. Draft submitting AV 27/6/10 RL 28/6/10 S 29/6/910 Draft submitted AV 30/6/10 RL 1/7 whatever be final decision may be it is desirable that the party who has actually done the work should get the pay. The draft may be approved & submitted to the Government 1/7/910 Draft appd by Secy. RL 5/7/10

Paper written above

38

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 672 of 1908

Serial No.

9. To:- The Dy Comr Chitaldroog
~~Dated~~ & Counterfoil
No 188-9 dy 15-7-10
Transmitting ~~the~~ ~~letter~~
and forwarding the bill
to the Complts for and it-

10. From, the Dy. Comr, Chitaldrug
Dist, Letter no 184 dy 19-11-1910.
Submits report called for in
Sl. 9.

The Dy. Comrs. report is
exhaustive.

(21) The Amildar reports that
the Vaishyas are not willing to
get Puja work done by hakdar
Pujari and that as there is ill-
feeling between the contending
parties, it does not seem possible
to bring about an amicable settle-
ment.

In paras 3, 4 & 5 of his letter, the Dy. Comr (since retired) points out that it is not possible to take work from hakdar Pujari Narasa Jois, that Channa Bhatta has no locus standi and that Venkatagiri Jois has failed to establish his right and recom-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—27-4-09

S. 12]
neral and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 672 of 1908

Serial No.

That Vaishyas' nominee may be confirmed in the office, as he has been discharging the duties ~~in a way agreeable to Vaishyas~~ satisfactorily

Submitted for orders to Secd Committee

AK (130) RL 7.12.10 The Vaisyas want their nominee only and not anybody else. The Amildar

Hag RL says that no amicable settlement can be effected on account of the ill-feeling between the parties

The DS Comr ingeniously enough says that as the nomination by the Vaisyas has been approved by him that pujari may continue in accordance

Hag M) with the order of 1904. This perhaps is begging the question.

(no 44 of 1902) The file relating to that order is also forwarded

35-1 Ambabhoy

18/12/1910

19

1105 The question is, on what grounds can we set aside the hereditary right of a pujari merely because the devotees wish to get rid of him?' At the same time, it is difficult to set aside the wishes of the Komatis

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

Serial No.

Community in respect of this goddess which has devotees solely among them. ~~May the~~ Perhaps the appellants may be informed that as their contentions as Pujaris &

Then Dy Cr may be told that the Govt feel a difficulty both ways, and that the best Course Wd be for the Vaidyas to compensate the Appellants for loss of their hereditary office & that Govt Wd appoint their nominee permanently. Let me see draft please.

20/12/10

Putup draft
21.12.1910

Submitted with a Bft
27.12.10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8-10

and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 672 of 1908 1123

Serial No.

11 From, Nazara Jois of Chikaldrug

Petition dy 20-12-1910

Represents that Vaidhya's nominee Venkatanarayappa died 4 or 5 days ago and prays that the hanawand may be transferred either to the name of his uncle Channabhatta or that of his uncle's son Venkatagiri Jois, and arrears of pay paid to him

Submitted for orders

AVC 1323
29 12 1910

Draft submitted to Secy Councillor

I say that the Vaidya should compensate the appellant is, in my humble opinion, a novel suggestion. It is practically saying that the parties should come to an amicable settlement; and this is asserted to be not feasible.

Nazara Jois has now launched a petition saying that the Vaidya's nominee is dead. He also enclosed an order of the Chief Commr appointing his father as Archak.

5/1/54

1188 Send the new papers for report to the D.C. with a suggestion that perhaps now a Compromise may be possible, as it would be difficult to altogether override the hereditary right of the Petr

5/1/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPS—50,000—12-8-10

Revenue Secretariat. File No. 672 of 1908

Serial No.

Photo draft letter

9 6/1/94

Submitted with a draft letter

DY 7.1.1911 SL

9 7/1/94

12 To, the Dy Commr, Chitaldrug Dist.

Letter no 1757 Dy 7-1-1911

Forwards Sl 11 representing that Vaishyas' nominee is dead and suggests that perhaps now a compromise may be possible, as it is difficult to altogether over-ride the hereditary right of the petr

13 From, the Dy Commr, Chitaldrug Dist.

Letter no 31 Dy 18 4-1911

Reports that it is not possible to effect any compromise between the Vaishyas and the hereditary pujaris of the Temple, and adds that the Vaishyas have now appointed one of the sons of the deceased pujari as archak of the Temple.

Submitted for orders to Secd Councillor

AM 13/7 29/7/1911 SL

Abdur Rahim 29.7.194

57

What is the caste of this temple? at What Who built the building & what ?

NOTE— Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000— 12 6 10

Serial No.

Cost. I am tempted to say that the taskk may be withdrawn till the parties come to terms

30/7/11

Remembered

Reference is invited to the copies of the Inam Register from which it will be seen that this Kennilla Paramesvari Temple has annual cash allowance of B. 5-13 or 0-7-9 a week. It is also recited therein that the allowances was sanctioned in Nov 1816 & 1818 - the late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wodayer Bahadur IV.

The Vaniyas have furnished a copy of a Nirup of that year which would show that the Vaniyas built this Temple and asked for an allowance. This is not improbable and we might assume that this Nirup is genuine.

As regards withdrawing this grant it would appear in Serial No. 6 whereon some of the Vaniyas that they would continue to forgo their grant

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 672 of 1908.

Serial No

Mr. Chakkai Panjai.

There may be others that ~~should appreciate~~ Value the Govt grant should brought to it. I have faint recollection that Krishnamoorthy for the Vaniyas said they were all advised in saying that the grant might even be withdrawn.

However that may be, the withdrawal of the grant does not, in my humble opinion, vindicate the powers of Govt and may serve a bad precedent. Others may come forward they that Govt they will do as they like and Govt may withdraw the grant.

No doubt this is a peculiar case; but I think the powers of the Govt cannot ~~grant~~ but be enforced when the devotees are so obstinate

Advocate Genl

3/8/09

The peculiarity of the case is that the deity has no devotees

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPP—1000 26 6 1'

145A 24

12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 692 of 1908

Serial No.

Whatever besides ~~Kaa~~ Roman
to Ask the D.C. what he
proposes, as if the devotees
do not come to terms, the Govt
may have to shut out their
nominee from worshipping
in the Temple.

3/8/11

P. Whyte Asst Secretariat
8/8/11

W. Submitted with a Dft
AV(132)
5 8 1911 RL

Seen
5/8/11

14 To the Dy Commr
Chittagong ST-

No 404 D 11-8-1911

Asking for his opinion in the matter,
as the nominee of the devotees has
to be shut from worshipping in the temple,
if they do not come to terms with the
Pakdar and his family.

15 From, the Dy Commr, Chittagong DS[illegible]
letter No 428 Dy 2.4.1912.
Submits further report called for in SC.14

NOTE.—Contn sheet

GPB—3,000 26.6.11

1575 (25

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No 672 of 1908

Serial No

79 X

The devotees say that if the hakdar is prepared to reside at Ghitalbung and worship the Deity himself without delegating the work to his relatives they will have the least objection to his being nominated to the Pujaridutp.

79. W

Narasa Jois-hakdar Pujari- has executed a muchalika to the effect that he would work as proposed by the devotees and that he might be removed from the office if he were to fail to give satisfaction in his work.

The Dy Commr reports that the charge of the mutt has been entrusted to Narasa Jois agreeably to the wishes of the devotees headed by Mr Ananta Shetty Advocate.

Submitted for orders the hakdar is now prepared to reside at the place agreeably to the wishes of the devotees & the institution has been entrusted to the charge of the hakdar Pujari. The correspondence may, if approved, be 'recorded'

RG
9.4.12

9.4.12 This may be done and endorsements issued to the parties accordingly.

(Mr Chandler)

4.5.12

GPB—1000 26.6.11

Note-Contn sheet

9.4.12

Mr. Draft 7.5.12

G. R. S. 12]

1575

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mis. File No. 672 of 1908.

Serial No.	
	Draft- with reed to paste put up Mdu 9.5.12. (79) 8/5 10/5/12 Issue 10/5/12
16	To The Dycommr Chittoor/dd No 2836 Dy 20.5.1912 Returns his letter
17	To Narasa Vis, Channabhatla No 2837 Dy 20.5.1912 Informs him of the above To Narayanaselti Sothers No 2838 Dy 20.5.1912 Forwards a Copy of the G.O. in the matter.
18	From, Ananda Setty and others. Petition Dy 20.6.1912. Stat.- That they have agreed to take Puja work from Narada Gois; That the latter has not actually reported for duty and that they are not prepared to accept Channa Bhatla as Pujari Submitted with a slightly altered draft for appl. or other orders. Ag (132) 4.7.12

NOTE—Contd. sheet

GPS—5,000—26-6-11

2074 (27

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

MHZ File No 672 of 1908.

Serial No

The petition of Jain traverses the ground of Hab. Int. is mainly intended to Mud. Int. Chetna Matter. The Endowment put up is conciliatory and may issue if approved

Subd. Mr. Councillor

he

17/4/13 16.4.13

The parties do not ask for any order on the petition. It is merely to convey notice to both of them not being at fault. The petn may be filed.

17/4/13

Hon

17/4

he

21/4

GPB—1000 26-4-11



D 1479

Mug 672-08-1

[illegible]

[illegible]

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಆಇ 4 ಎಸ್‌ಎಸ್‌ಪಿ 2021 (ಪಿ.ಆರ್.)

ಆಡಳಿತ ಸುಧಾರಣಾ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಕುಂದುಕೊರತೆ ನಿವಾರಣಾ ಇಲಾಖೆ

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸಚಿವಾಲಯ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 12 ಜನವರಿ 2021

ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಕುಂದುಕೊರತೆ ನಿವಾರಣಾ ಕಾಯಿದೆ, 2021 (2021ರ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಕಾಯಿದೆ 3) ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನಕ್ಕೆ ತರುವ ಕುರಿತು

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಕುಂದುಕೊರತೆ ನಿವಾರಣಾ ಕಾಯಿದೆ, 2021 (2021ರ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಕಾಯಿದೆ 3) ಅನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಸಂತೋಷಪಡುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಕಾಯಿದೆಯು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಸೇವೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾರದರ್ಶಕತೆ, ಉತ್ತರದಾಯಿತ್ವ ಮತ್ತು ಸಮಯಬದ್ಧ ಪರಿಹಾರವನ್ನು ಖಾತರಿಪಡಿಸುವ ಉದ್ದೇಶವನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿದೆ.

ಈ ಕಾಯಿದೆಯ ಪ್ರಕರಣ 1ರ ಉಪ-ಪ್ರಕರಣ (2)ರಲ್ಲಿ ಒದಗಿಸಿರುವಂತೆ, ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಈ ಕಾಯಿದೆಯ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಪ್ರಕರಣಗಳು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ಬರಬೇಕಾದ ದಿನಾಂಕವನ್ನು ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆಯ ಮೂಲಕ ನಿಗದಿಪಡಿಸಿದೆ.

ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ, ಈ ಕಾಯಿದೆಯ ಪ್ರಕರಣ 1ರ ಉಪ-ಪ್ರಕರಣ (2)ರಲ್ಲಿ ಒದಗಿಸಿರುವ ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ಚಲಾಯಿಸಿ, ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಈ ಕಾಯಿದೆಯ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಪ್ರಕರಣಗಳು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ಬರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಿಸುತ್ತದೆ.

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯಪಾಲರ ಆದೇಶ ಮತ್ತು ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲಿ,

(ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣಮೂರ್ತಿ)
ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ
ಆಡಳಿತ ಸುಧಾರಣಾ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಕುಂದುಕೊರತೆ ನಿವಾರಣಾ ಇಲಾಖೆ

ಪ್ರತಿಗಳು:
1. ಮುಖ್ಯ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು, ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಕುಂದುಕೊರತೆ ನಿವಾರಣಾ ಆಯೋಗ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು
2. ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು
3. ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಇಲಾಖಾ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರು
4. ಮಹಾಲೇಖಪಾಲರು, ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ
5. ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ, ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿಧಾನಸಭೆ
6. ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ, ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿಧಾನ ಪರಿಷತ್ತು
7. ಕಾನೂನು ಇಲಾಖೆ, ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ
8. ಕಡತ

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

I [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

II [illegible]

12 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

III [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೆಆರ್‌ಐಡಿಎಲ್/ಟೆಂಡರ್/2024-25/ಪಿಕೆಜಿ-07/1234

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 12/03/2025

ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಸೂಚನೆ

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಗ್ರಾಮೀಣ ಮೂಲಸೌಕರ್ಯ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ನಿಗಮ ನಿಯಮಿತ (ಕೆಆರ್‌ಐಡಿಎಲ್) ಕಛೇರಿಯಿಂದ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಅರ್ಹ ಮತ್ತು ನೋಂದಾಯಿತ ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರಿಂದ ಮೊಹರು ಮಾಡಿದ ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಆಹ್ವಾನಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಟೆಂಡರ್ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಛೇರಿ ಕೆಲಸದ ವೇಳೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಬಹುದು.

ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ. ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಹೆಸರು ಅಂದಾಜು ಮೊತ್ತ (₹) ಇಎಂಡಿ (₹) ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ಅವಧಿ

1 ಹಿರೇಕೆರೆ ಗ್ರಾಮದಿಂದ ಮಾವಿನಕಟ್ಟೆ ಕ್ರಾಸ್‌ವರೆಗೆ ಡಾಂಬರು ರಸ್ತೆ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ (4.2 ಕಿ.ಮೀ.) 1,85,00,000 3,70,000 90 ದಿನಗಳು

2 ಕೆಂಪಾಪುರ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮುದಾಯ ಭವನ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ 42,50,000 85,000 60 ದಿನಗಳು

3 ಬೆಳ್ಳಿಮಟ್ಟಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಡಿಯುವ ನೀರಿನ ಟ್ಯಾಂಕ್ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ 68,00,000 1,36,000 120 ದಿನಗಳು

4 ಸಣ್ಣಕೆರೆ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಚರಂಡಿ ಮತ್ತು ಕಾಲುದಾರಿ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ 23,75,000 47,500 75 ದಿನಗಳು

5 ಹಿರೇಕೆರೆ ಗ್ರಾಮದಿಂದ ಮಾವಿನಕಟ್ಟೆ ಕ್ರಾಸ್‌ವರೆಗೆ ಡಾಂಬರು ರಸ್ತೆ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ (4.2 ಕಿ.ಮೀ.) 1,85,00,000 3,70,000 90 ದಿನಗಳು

6 ಕೆಂಪಾಪುರ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮುದಾಯ ಭವನ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ 42,50,000 85,000 60 ದಿನಗಳು

7 ಬೆಳ್ಳಿಮಟ್ಟಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಡಿಯುವ ನೀರಿನ ಟ್ಯಾಂಕ್ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ 68,00,000 1,36,000 120 ದಿನಗಳು

8 ಸಣ್ಣಕೆರೆ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಚರಂಡಿ ಮತ್ತು ಕಾಲುದಾರಿ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ 23,75,000 47,500 75 ದಿನಗಳು

ಷರತ್ತುಗಳು:

1. ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ದಾರರು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ನೋಂದಾಯಿತರಾಗಿರಬೇಕು ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಬಂಧಿತ ವರ್ಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಹತೆ ಹೊಂದಿರಬೇಕು.

2. ಇಎಂಡಿ ಮೊತ್ತವನ್ನು ಡಿಡಿ ರೂಪದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ಪಾವತಿಯ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

3. ಅಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಥವಾ ತಡವಾಗಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

4. ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ನಿಗದಿತ ಅವಧಿಯೊಳಗೆ ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಲು ವಿಫಲವಾದಲ್ಲಿ ದಂಡ ವಿಧಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

5. ಯಾವುದೇ ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಅನ್ನು ಕಾರಣ ನೀಡದೆ ತಿರಸ್ಕರಿಸುವ ಹಕ್ಕು ನಿಗಮಕ್ಕೆ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು
ಕೆಆರ್‌ಐಡಿಎಲ್, ಪಿಕೆಜಿ ವಿಭಾಗ

Enclosure No
672-08-

Enclosure to
SE 672-08-1
(2)

[illegible] 121

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1426 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Muz.3]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 2128 Ex. 9-11
176-08 9

The accompanying Petition No D1479

dated 4-5-09 received at this Office from Narasajyotiya and 45 others Muchi-Channabhatta, praying for the restoration to them of the Archakship of Shri Kanni-Kaparameshwari temple at Chitaldrug and their salary in arrears

is forwarded, together with its three enclosures, to the Dy. Commr. Chitaldrug District for favour of a Report.

By Order.

3/6 '09

for Secretary,
Muzrai Department

BANGALORE,
5 11 1909

GP —1500—20-10-07

ನಂ. 2129 — 15-6-04
672-08-2

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದ

ಚಿ. ತ್ರಿವೇಣಿ ಪಳ್ಳಿ ರಾವ್. ನರಸಿಂಹಮೂರ್ತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಚಿ. ನಾಗಭೂಷಣ-ಯುವರಿಗೆ -

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ವೈಶ್ಯ ಮೊಹ ೪ ನೇ

ಮತ್ತು ದಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ~~ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಲಾಯಿತು~~. ಚಿ. ತ್ರಿವೇಣಿ

ತಿಳಿಸಿಕ್ಕಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ನರಸಿಂಹರವರಲ್ಲಿ ಅಪೇಕ್ಷ

ಇರುವಿಕ್ಕಿಲ್ಲ ಕಳ್ಳಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ. ಈ ವಿವಾಹವು ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರೋಕ್ತವಾಗಿ, ಧರ್ಮಸಮ್ಮತವಾಗಿ

ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಅರ್ಜಿ ಬರೆದುಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ - ಈ ಎಂಡೋಮೆಂಟ್ ನಿಯಮಗಳು

ನಿಮಗೆ ತಿಳಿದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ, ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

By Order

ಸಹಿ

7/6/04

For Secretary

GPB—1,000—28-9-07

1909
D 445

From Mr. F. 67 2-08-3
The Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District

To
The Secretary to the Government
of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore
Huzur Branch

Dated 25th } August 09
Despatched }
Received

General Dept.

Submitted
1 petn
with its 3
enclosures

Reporting with connected records on the petition of Narasa Jois and Channa Bhatta praying for the restoration to them of the Archakship of Sri Kamika-parameswari temple at Chitaldrug & their salary in arrears.

A.V.
26.8.09

18"41/16&17 Deputy Commissioner's Office
Chitaldrug District
Dated, Chitaldrug, 25th August 1909

From

K. Srinivasa Rao, Esquire,
Offg Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

To

K. S. Cheendrasekhara Iyer, Esquire, B.A.B.L.
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum No 2188/Muz. F. 672-08-2 dated 5th June 1909, forwarding for report petition from Narasa Jois and Cheennabhatta praying for the restoration to them of the Archakship of Sri Kannika Parameswari temple at Chitaldroog, I have the honor to submit herewith, the entire Vernacular records connected with the case and to state as follows. —

2 The temple in question has 3 presiding deities viz Kannikaparameswari, Iswara and Janardana, enjoying a cash grant of 7 annas 9 pies each per month,

of which the petition relates to the archakship of only one Ky Kannika Parameswari, while the records enclosed relate to all the three deities.

3. The appellant Narasa Jois is the Barawardar archak of Kannika Parameswari. In 1883, baraward was made in his name and as he was a minor his undivided uncle Ebennabhatta was appointed officiator Pujari. His brother Venkatagiri Jois seems to have been also doing pujari's duties.

4. On 29th September 1899, Papiah Chetty and others complained to the Amildar officiating Taluk that the Pujari Venkata-giri Jois was not conducting the puja of the goddess Kannikaparameswari and that there was misunderstanding between them and the pujari. The Amildar opined that the petition was the outcome of ill-will between the parties and as Ebennabhatta was performing the duties properly, ordered the petition to be fi...

Hag x)

5. On (5th October 1903) Venkatāgiri jeer complained to the Deputy Commissioner that (Flag W
the Vaisya guru Bhaskarapanthi broke into the Kamukaparameswari Temple and took Charge of the deity. The Deputy Commissioner directed the Amildar to make enquiries regarding the truth of the Complaint and on his report, directed in his order No. 615 dated 2nd February 1904, (Flag S
that, as agreed to by the Vaisyas, Venkatagiri jeer should be restored to the pujāriship.

6 Again, on 8th February 1904 the Vaisyas complained to the Deputy Commissioner that Venkatāgiri jeer was not performing the pujas properly; that he was acting contrary to the Mamool; that there was ill-will between them and the pujari who was their Purohit, and requested that he should be removed from office and their nominee appointed in his stead

7. This petition was referred to the Amildar for report and after prolonged enquiry, the Deputy Commissioner in his No. 1045 dated 22nd February 1909, ordered that

Narasajois, the Respondent, although he had attained majority several years ago, had not taken charge of his duties and that his Claim to the pujari office having become time-barred, he should be dismissed; Chenna-bhatta's Gumastaship having terminated, he should not be allowed to interfere and the Vaidyas' nominee Venkatanarasappa should be appointed pujari.

8. It is against this order that the appeal is preferred.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[signature]

Dy Deputy Commissioner

Sir, Chitaldrug

Muz. 1

190

From

To

The Superintendent of
Quam Settlements in Mysore

Dated

Despatched 3-9-09

Received

General No. 603

No.

Enclosure No.

Sir, I am directed
~~to request~~ The honor to request
that you will be so good as to
~~to be~~ furnish~~ed~~ ~~with an~~
this office with an
extract of the Ready money
Quam register pertaining to
the grant of a monthly
Sasoik of Rs 10 0-7-9 to the Sri
Kamuka Parameswara Temple
at Chidambaram

I have
the honor
to be
Sir
Your most obedient
servant
[illegible]

GPb—3,000- 19-9-07

VV / 9554 / 1909

MRR F 672 - 08 - 5

From

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

To The Secretary to the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue and Revenue Department

Dated 9th
Despatched 10th } Septr. 1909
Received

General No. 28
No

Enclosure No. /

Forwards a copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the Cash Grant to the Sri Kamuka Paran Temple at Chittaldroog ——

Refer to
MR 109

~~18~~
16

Inam Superintendent's Office,

No. 28 / 1 Dated, Bangalore 9/10th September 1909

From

The Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government
of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue
Departments

Sir,

As requested in your letter No. 690 / M-7672-08-4 dated 3d. September 1909, I have the honor forward herewith, a copy of the Inam Regis[ter] pertaining to the Cash grant to the Sri Kamuk[shi] Parameswari temple at — Chitaldroog.

I have the honorable,
Sir,
Your most obed[ient] se[rvant]

[illegible]
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

Register Sheet No... 11.9.....

Taluk.... Chittaldroog

Hobli.....

Village.....

GPB—100—20 3 08

20
17

of the Register of

General No	Value of the Inam	Description of Inams. If for service, it is to be stated whether it is performed. If for buildings, tanks, etc, whether they are efficiently kept up	Tenure. Hereditary, unconditional for life only, or for two or more
1	2	3	4
35	Annual allowance of Rs 17-7-3 from the Chittoor Taluk Treasury paid monthly at Rs 1-7-0	Devadayam granted for the support of the pagoda of Kannika Parameswari and two more small — therein situated of Vishnu and Sivaliiga. The pagoda is said to be in good order. —	Permanent long as the pay is in good orde

Title-deed no 1191
Date of Repn 1-1-64
Date of issue to Taluk } --

When, and by whom, and to whom granted	Name of the party registered in the Standard Muzrai or other recognized accounts
5	6
Granted by the late Maha-raja in November 1816 as per remarks in the Anerze Patti of 1801 to 1825 To Vishnu Vigraha Sivaluiga Ananaier 18 Pagodas	Jasdik patte of 1830/31. Same as in Col 5 — Rs 17-7-5 Muzarai Lavazama of 1865/66 Kannika Parameswari — Rs 17-7-3 —

22
(19)

Taluk of Chikaldroog in the

DESCRIPTIVE ROLL OF THE PRESENT RECIPIENT

Name, place of residence and age	Caste or tribe, height and complexion	Indelible marks tending to the identification of the Inamdar
Chikaldroog		
1. Ramiah Ramappa No 5-13 1		
2. Venkatappa Ramappa Venkatappanna Ram do 5-13 2		
3. Virappa Ditto do 5-13 3		

of the _____ ~~Division~~

Relationship to the grantee or the registered holder	Particulars of the heirs of the party in Col 7	PARTICULARS OF THE COMMUTED LAND			
		Village and Taluk	Description and No	Extent	Assessment
10	11	12	13	14	15

Recommendation of the Assistant	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
16	17
The original allowance was reduced to Rs 17-7 3 previous to the Jasoik Patti of 1830/31 and it is divided between the 3 pagodas situated in one big temple which is said to be in good order. The Poojari of Isvara Devar only sent an attested Vakalathnamah — As the Inam is enjoyed by the three pagodas which are in good order, I recommend the entire allowance of Rs 17-7-0 to be registered and Continued in their name so long as they are in existence. — (Signed) B. Gopalrich Special Asst 30/10/68.	Payment of Rs 17-7-0 subject to the Conditions of the original grant. (Signed) T. Clerk. Inam Comr Nov. 2nd. 1868.
Inam Superintendent's Office Dated, Bangalore 9 September 1909 10th	"Copy" D. Ramarao Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore



672—08—6

సిదెలిసెవడు సన్నిధా
పరాంబరళిదెరిచక్కిమో భ్రమాసుక్కి అడుర
సెట్లల్లారుతడు సన్నిధానద పరాంబలిది బన్నియిదె
సంగడెరతానిళి భ్దీవే ఎంబూరన్ని రా పరమోశ్వరీదేవత
స్థాన నెక్కిపడిరెరదీపారాధనెబగె మోబలసు సల్లుత్తా
బందుదేవరప్పజెయుంన్నక్రతువాని భక్తియిందనెట్ట
అసుహొలక్కిసరియూనిప్పజె తూడెరక్కి తరిందప్పజె తూ
డిసుత్తా బందు పద్దతిప్రకారయిూభిసి వైశ్యరప్పదొడ్డిర
రానిద్దసన్నిధానదల్లి అటీలోవరూడిరిండిరువనరస
ప్పనవరు ప్పూజె తూడుత్తా బంచెరు యివరు సరియూని
ప్పూజె తూడెదీకొండదిందు యివరబక్కిట్టు ఒన్నభట్ట
న ప్పూజె తూడుత్తా యిద్దరుయిూభిసి వైశ్యరు మరియాదీ
రాంబూలబ్రా ర్థనారిసి హీడెల్లవెంబదానాదమేలే
బ్రార్థనారిసూవైశ్యరిసూ మునస్థాపిసుంటూనిప్పరొంది
నెరు వైశ్యరమనెగళిగెకొండుచారచెంకరె మల్లరెట్టు తూడి
ప్పిండు యీ ద్వేషదింద దేవరప్పజె సరియూని తూడదె
దేవరిగె 15 దివసక్కి బందాగ ఆచరూ అభిషేకతూ
డెదె యిట్టబందదివసబందు సుడియబాణలు కెసిందు
వడు యీరీతి తూడుత్తా నెమ్మనళల్లమదువె ముంజి
యికెరశుభకార్యగళల్లదేవస్థాన నెక్కికొండి దేవరిగె
ఊణాంకాయి ప్పూ ప్పసబేకాదు సందభక్తరుల్ల అర్చకర
న్నెరదరె బాకెదెనిమనెబేకాదకాలనళల్లి దేవరప్పజెతూ
డువదిల్లా నాచ్య సరాంలీనెలెరి తూడుత్తేవె వీరప్పనళిదం
నెప్పూజె తూ డెకెక్కి ద్దనెమ దిలసవల్లా బంకెనిచారిసుత
దు యీరీతితూ పుత్తాలాబ్రిగె నెమ్మసురుగళూదు భాగ్యశా
బొక్కసు నెతరా క్రీకొలదల్లి 1902నేయిళ్ళియల్ల ఒక్కరురు
గక్కిదెయుత్తా డిళిదాగ్నిపూతూలోపద్ధతియుంకెనతరా
క్రియల్లా నెమ్మసురుగళుదేవరప్పజె తూడెక్కిపద్ధతిప్ర
కార దేవరప్పజె తూడిద్దక్కిరన్ని కొటిరమోళ్ళియుంటు

28
25

నారికాప్టు నవరత్నులతో సిద్ధంగా

నవరు అటులో తూడి రుపడు అభారమోచుచ్చి
రారీఖు 4 క్కి అడ్డ్రెస్ లోగిరుపడాని తెళియరు చుండుండూ
టుళ్ళ నా చ్చగళు సన్నిధా నడెల్లం అరికేటూడికోళ్ళు
పడినందరి టోల్లండు అంళగళుమ్మ మాటెండురవే టు
ఒక్క క్కో కెండు యిదు నవరు పులడినవరే ఆద్దరిందసర్కా
రచ పరు దుంరుతూడి నత్నుగళ అనుకూలక్కాగి దేవర
ప్పుజి క్రయు తూనిసడియులు పడికెరడీ హారాధనెబుచ్చి
రిలప్రతూ బులసంన్న కీడుత్తా యిధా రి యూ దేవరి
సత్కైశ్చరు లు నడా యిన్నా రూభక్తరల్లాదేవతలిసి నడి
యుచేకాడ తూపు కట్టి ప్పుజిగళు నవరాత్రి శవరాత్రి-
రాకీర్ణ కోక్షుల ధ్వనుతూర్ణ పుకట్టి యుంరాడుశోలా
దిగళసి కగలుంవ మిక్కు గళు నవరు సీంరి ఖర్చినందతూడు
నేవవే కిం గిరుతాన్నే నవరుగి అరోధ్వతూనిష్టోషదింద
నవరుసి అ వరతూనప్పసంకటప్పుత్వంరు తూడి చేకింబు
యిరాడి యిందు సరియూ నియూ నవరుసి చేకాడ రాలగ
ళల్లు దేవరప్పుజి తూడెజేయిరక్కి వరిందు యూవరిగి
ప్పుజి తూడిసకిక్కద్దు ఖావందరేగంరోఒకసకెక్కభాగ
తూనిష్ట సర్కారదింద పడికెరడీ హారాధనెచేబులను
సల్లుక్కెం చారారక్షాచెమీలే యిగఅటులో తూడిరువ
వరిరువ వరుసి అకోళ్ళిగళూని నవరుసి అ నూనుకూలప్పు
రోందరియూ వ్రంరు తూడికెక్కవరాదాస్తు నత్నుదేవ
వరప్పుజెయన్నుఅవరిందవరే తూడిపిచేకింరఖావంద
రవరు నత్నుగళక్రరు భారవశాశ్రేంరు తేలిరువుం
తూదుఅ పందభుజుల్లసర్కారదింద నత్నుదేవస్థానక్కి
రూడికెక్కిపడికెరడి హారాధనెబులను విల్లాబి
టట్టాగ, సరుశాస్త్రోండు యిరచరు దేరూరు—

నేపాల్

Hyderabad
6-12-08-b

క్రిష్ణరాజు బడెంపురవరు

చాకుసంపన్నశచకార్తీకబాబుళ్ళ శుక్రవారదల్లు శ్రీమతి

పిక్రేచుమూచలతుల్దాశోశాచుచాయింతిగే బరచుపరుగు సచు

నిరూపు అదాని బరిచు అజ్ఞ లవరశ్శకేచాయింపు పిక్రేచురు

గచపీటకేయల్లాయికంట బరుంచువ చరక్కేపుయేచుచేటుంచు

చూడుపల్లి పరిస్థళక్కి పిరాగిపీటరిశోచే చూడిక్కొండు

బండు ప్రస్తా చూడిరిరోళ్లు క్తా యిద్దరంకే ప్రేచోగి చూశ

సంపన్నశచు పాల్గు గా చూపడుల్లగిడ్డివెంకట్టశెట్టిరుజూతో

బరచు దీలచ స్థానకేట్టుటచుక్కి స్థళ కీడుచరాగినిరూ

పకేంచుకోట్టు క్రి లింపంచుపు లా చుంట్టిసచిట్లు లిస్తరు.

శవల్లంగ అత్మనేవరు కేచు చుచూరులిగ్గ చ్ప్రేకిట్టేచూ

డిరుచరక్కి పడికర దిగాచాధివేగే శిళదంక్కి అట్టుగా —

ఆగచిలేదిండు బరడ్డు పరాంబరశ్శ దీలవరు బంచెక్కి అయిదు

రూపాచమీరిలట్టు సాయంచుకే దీలవర ప్పుజ్జే ల్లగిపీటకే

ఆ బాచు యిరుచరాగి— నిట్లు అంగ్లాశోచేగినిరాట్ప అరి

కేవరాడిడ్డు పరాంబరసి పేట్లేచూడిసు యిచ్చాయిరు

యూ నిరూపు స్థళ చాకలాచాచశ్చదీలవరు గక్కిశింపళుంక్కి

కంపిరాయిశ్శరూపాడు చమీరిసే ప్రేకిశింపళుబాయిదీల

చమీరిసే యింగ్లీజు కిశింపళ బాయిదీచమీరిసిచరుచుంట్ర

రికాజాసేవడు రుబజూరుచూడెదేచురుపేయశ్సేవళడు

కీడుచుక్తా ప్పుజాదిగళుచూడిసుక్తా నిరూపుదవేర్ —

సరస్తాక్చత్తు చక్కిబరసత్రసల్ సంన్నేచుబా ప్పుకీడూ

చుశారిఅయిరూసీలచరూరేసచంబుక్ గరూగ్రహీయిశ్శా

ఖిక్తప్రసంన్నేంర్ప చుపుపుట రుజూరు — —

— సాలియూసా కంపిరాయి గళ చరడుదచమీరిసే

చూంబులకు కీడుక్తా బరుచేచు

(3) క్రేష్ణ్ణయంశస్సైన్చూడిధి

అసెల్ పన్నెది సంయింపుగడిద్దాశు సు॥ చూగర్ణశర

శుద్ధగ్నిసే సీరాలచూవారయంశప్రేలచ స్త్రీరాధిధి

G.R.S. 26]

Off on para of File No.
Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

No. 2416 encl 1 & 6
Mmz-7.672-08-;

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 190

To

The Dy Commr,
Chidalrug Dist

Date of issue 28th March 1910

Signed by Mr. A. S. Dyer

Sir,

With reference to your letter no 91 dated 25th August 1909 about the Archakship of the Sri Kannika Parame-swari Temple at Chidalrug I am directed to observe that though it is desirable that the Archak should be a man acceptable to the devotees as a body it is opposed to the standing orders of the Govt that the devotees themselves should of their own authority appoint the Pujari in a

over.

Ept no 3899-906 & 1/16-6 04 about the Archakship of Sri Kannika Parameswari Temple at Hallagere, Chandraya Taluk.
(File no 44 of 1907)

SUBJECT.

37-28)

Munzrai institution. In these circumstances I am to request that you will see so good as to make an attempt to bring about an amicable understanding between the contending parties and to decide the case according to their agreement. If this should be found impracticable, a report may again be sent up to Govt.

2) The vernacular records are herewith returned.

I have etc.

(in order)

[illegible] 9/5/1900

for Secretary.

[illegible] 9.5.00

List of enclosures,

21/5/00

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—23-5-08

212 (29

1909-08

From The Deputy Commissioner Civil Station Dist

No. 7672-08

To The Secretary to the Govt of H. H the Maharaja of Mysore, Kumrai Dept

Dated 8th
Despatched 10th } Bangalore June 1910.

Received

General No. 1165
No.

Enclosure No.

States that the amildo of Civil Station has been directed to effect an amicable understanding between the contending parties and solicits orders to disburse the arrears of pay of the Archaka actually doing the duties of a pujari from 1st November 1903 to 30th Sept 08.

A.V.
Circle work
BL
13/6/10

Dated 4th June

From

M. Chengrah Chetty Esquire BA.
Deputy Commissioner.
Chitaldrug District.

To

C S Balasundaram Iyer Esq, BA.
Offg: Secretary to the Government of H.H. the
Maharaja of Mysore, General
and Revenue Departments Muzrai Branch
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 2416/Muz. & 672-08-7 dated 28th March 1910, I have the honor to state that the Amildar, Chitaldrug Taluk, has been directed to effect an amicable understanding between the contending parties.

2. In the meanwhile the arrears of pay due to the Archak who has been actually attending to the duties of a Pujari from 18th November 1903 upto 30th September 1908 may be ordered to be disbursed, and the bill herein enclosed for Rs 46-15-9 passed on to the Comptroller for audit.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District.

Dft as per order (31)

G.R.S. 28.]

File No. 711 of 09

Serial No. 9

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

, *the* 19

Date of issue 15/1/11

Signed by [illegible]

READ— Correspondence ending with Letter no 468 dy 8th June 1910 from the Dy. Commr, Chitaldrug Distt, reporting ~~with ref to the Office letter no 2416 dy 28th March 09~~, that the Amildar has been directed to bring about an amicable understanding between the contending parties to the suit relating to the Pujarike of Sri Kanneka Parameswari Temple at Chitaldrug and submitting for sanction a bill amounting to Rs 46-15-9 being the arrears due to the Archak from 1st November 1903 1908

ORDER THEREON.—No.

over

SUBJECT.

GPB—5,000—7-5 09

32)

up to 30th Septr 1888

Order thereon – no. No. 1889

Mun 672-88

the bill for £46-15-9

is sanctioned and forwarded

to the Compr for audit and

re-transmission to the Dy Commr,

the amount being met from

the funds at the credit of

the Mistr.

Dft) 6/7/1889

Secretary

1/7/88

Do,

the Compr with the sanctioned bill

& Dy. Commr, Chitaldrug Dist

with other encls.

5/7/88

VII
22/11/10

D 955

1909-1942 0810

From Mur. Dy. Collr

The Deputy Commissioner
Chittaldroog District

To

The Secretary to Govt
General & Rev. Depts
Nuzrai

Dated 19-11-1910
Despatched
Received

General No. 184
No.

Enclosure No. one V file

Recommending that the present Pujari of the Sri Kannika Parameswari temple at Chitaldroog may be allowed to continue as the Institution has been managed very efficiently by him.

Chief Secy
22/11/10

GPB—75 reams—25-5-10

From

Mr: Chengaiah Chetty, Esquire, B.A.

Deputy Commissioner

Cuddalore District

To

C. Balasundram Iyer Esqr: B.A.

Offg: Secretary to Government,

General and Revenue Depts

(Munzrai).

Dated 19th November 1910.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no: 2416/Munz. f. 672-08-7 dated the 28th March 1910 and in continuation of my letter no 468 dated the 8th June last, I have the honor to bring to the notice of Government that the devotees of the Sri Kannika Parameswari temple at Cuddalore first applied to the

Amildar

Amildar of Chitaldroog on the 5th October 1903 (vide Serial no: 16 of the enclosed records) for the appointment of a competent Pujari agreeable to them as the then acting incumbent was found to be inattentive to his duties. Thereupon, a regular inquiry was instituted which continued up to the 30th May 1908, when a formal order was passed by the Deputy Commissioner confirming the appointment of one Venkatnarayanappa as Pujari (vide Para 3 of the Deputy Commissioner's order no 1426 dated the 30th May 1908. Serial nos 126 to 130 of the Charist) This procedure is in conformity with the standing orders of Government (no 3899-906 dated the 16th June 1904)

2 With reference to the concluding portion of your letter suggesting (

bring

bringing about an amicable settlement between the contending parties, I beg to invite reference to the Chitaldroog Amildar's Report No: 90 dated the 25th July 1910 (vide Serial No. 150 of the Phaniti) stating that they do not come to a compromise.

2. When the Amildar was again asked to send for Narasajois who is alleged to be the proper claimant as stated in the appeal petition, and have him examined, he states that as the individual resides at the Shalya village in the Molakalmuru Taluk, no Brahman at Chitaldroog can certify to his fitness for a Pujarieship and that he has never even once officiated as Pujari in any temple. He is under the impression that the (petitioner) is not desirous of taking up this profession probably at this advanced

stage of his life, having already attained the age of nearly 40 years and accustomed himself to a village life

4. The other petitioner — viz Chenna-bhatta has no locus standi to dispute for the office as he held the Pujarishiip before for sometime during the minority of the 1st petitioner (Narasajois) as an acting incumbent.

5. There is also a third person viz Venkatagirijois, whose situation is similar to that of the 2nd petitioner and who is also contesting for the Pujari-ship. He has failed to establish his own right to the office and further proved himself obstructive to the satisfactory working of the institution during his acting period on previous occasions

6. The deity in question is the family Goddess of the Vaisya Community and no person of any other caste attends this temple to offer his prayers.

The Vaisyas in a body protest to the appointment of any one of the petitioners for the Pujari office. They only desire that the man nominated by them and formally approved by the deputy Commissioner may be allowed to continue to do service in the temple and they vouchsafe to the satisfactory working of the Institution during his term of office as he has been devoting his whole time in the worship of the deity and has no other private concerns. By such a scrupulous attachment to his duties, he has won their goodwill and confidence. The devotees in return see that his nominal monthly grant allowed by Government (R 0-A 7-P 9) is supplemented largely by their private contributions amounting to 10/ to 15 Rs a month, so as to meet the requirements of the man to support his family.

The devotees of the temple are Vysyas who are reputed to be of a very retiring disposition & do not generally complain against ~ others unless they are driven to the absolute necessity of doing so. From the records it will be seen that since 1903 they have been constantly ~ petitioning the higher authorities & reporting their grievances against Venkata -giri Jois and Chennabhatla who were acting as Priests.

8. Under the foregoing circum -stances, I am of opinion that it is not advisable to interfere with the arrangement at this stage as it is learnt that the institution is being managed efficiently for the past three years after the advent of the present Pujari. It is also gratifying to note that Special Services (Worships &c.) are being attended to during Sravana, Adhika Kartheeka and Dhanurmasa months

on a grand scale at the cost of the devotees.

9. The vernacular records received with the letter under reply, are herewith retransmitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldroog dist.

21/12

[illegible] 1423

[illegible] 8-11

[illegible]

[illegible]

21/12/10
Certain note before [illegible] draft
21.12.1911

[illegible]

([illegible] 12-910)

[illegible]

ಅಸಲು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಕಳೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರುವ
ಭೂಮಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರವನ್ನು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಿಸಲು
ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಮನವಿ ಪತ್ರ — ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾದ ದಿನಾಂಕ
ಮತ್ತು ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ
(No 2129) Muy 672-08-2 ನೇದನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಲಾಗಿ
ಅಂಶಗಳು ಕಂಡು ಬಂದವು —

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ ಪೈಕಿ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು
ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುತ್ತಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ
ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಪರಿಹಾರವನ್ನು ಕೋರಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ 9-10-
ರಂದು ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ
ಪರಿಹಾರವನ್ನು ಕೋರಿ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಇಲಾಖೆಗೆ
ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ ಸದರಿ
ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಪರಿಹಾರವನ್ನು ಕೋರಿ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ
ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಇಲಾಖೆಗೆ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವುದಾಗಿ
ತಿಳ

ಅಂಬಿಗನ ಕಾಯಕ ಶರಣ ಕಿನ್ನರಿ ಬೊಮ್ಮಯ್ಯನ ನಡತೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅನುಭಾವ

ಶರಣ ಕಾಯಕ ದೀಕ್ಷೆ :- ಕಿನ್ನರಿ ಬೊಮ್ಮಯ್ಯ ಅಂಬಿಗ ಕಾಯಕ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದ ಅವನು ದಿನಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ಸಲ ಮಾತ್ರ ಊಟ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದ ಅವನು ಯಾವಾಗಲೂ ಶಿವನ ಧ್ಯಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುತ್ತಿದ್ದ ಅವನಿಗೆ ಶಿವನ ಮೇಲೆ ಅಪಾರ ಭಕ್ತಿ ಇತ್ತು ಅವನು ಶಿವನ ಪೂಜೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಆಮೇಲೆ ಊಟ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದ ಅವನು ಯಾವಾಗಲೂ ಶಿವನ ಧ್ಯಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರ

(45

Melbourne

672-88-11

(3)

Dear Mr. Sundaram

I am writing to you to inform you that I have been unable to find a suitable place to live in Melbourne. I have been looking for a place to live for the past few weeks, but I have not been able to find anything that I like. I have been looking at apartments and houses, but I have not been able to find anything that I like.

I am writing to you to ask if you know of any place that I could live in Melbourne. I am looking for a place that is close to the city, and that is not too expensive. I am also looking for a place that is quiet, and that is not too far from the beach.

I am writing to you to ask if you know of any place that I could live in Melbourne. I am looking for a place that is close to the city, and that is not too expensive. I am also looking for a place that is quiet, and that is not too far from the beach.

Yours sincerely,

A. Mildaram

Dt. 8/10/10.

Received 12/10/10

Off. as per orders

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 1123

No. 1757 Ex 404/16

Proc 672-08-#12

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 190

To

The Dy Commr,
Chidambaram Divn

Date of issue 7—1—1911.

Signed by

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no 184 Dy 19th November 1910 ~~reporting that it is not possible to bring about an amicable settlement between the Pujaris of the Sri Kamnika Parameswari Temple at Chidambaram and the Vaishya devotees thereof~~ about the workship of the

I am directed to forward herein for further report petition regd. no D 1123 Dy 20th December 1910 from Varada Jois of Chidambaram representing that the Vaishyas' nominee Venkatasubbanappa is dead, and to suggest ~~with the suggestion~~ that perhaps now a compromise may be possible

over.

SUBJECT.

Dec 7/1

(4)

as it would be difficult to altogether override the hereditary right of the petr

2) The Kannada records are herewith returned for ref. and re-submission

I have etc.

Or's order
7/11/1911
for offg. Secretary
7.11

List of enclosures,

Signed by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—23 5-08

VIII

D 145 A

237 No. 190 Jud. 7. 672-08-13

From
The Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug, District.

To
The Secretary to Govt of Mysore
Land Revenue Depts
Bangalore

Dated 18th
Despatched
Received 20th July 11

General No. 31
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file VP

Stating that it is not possible to effect any compromise between the ~~heri~~ Vaisyas and the hereditary Pujari of the Sri Kamakshamma temple at Chitaldrug & that the Taluk former have appointed one of the sons of the deceased Pujari as the Priest of the temple

Instructions noted.
By 25.7.11
BL
24.7.11

GPB—75 reams—25 5 10

46

No. 31 / 1st to 18

Deputy Commis
Chitaldrug Dis

Dated 18th July 1911
19th

From

K. Chandy, Esquire, B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments
Muzrai Branch
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter no. 1757/
Muz 6/2-08-11 dated the 7th January 1911
regarding the archakship of the Sri —
Kamiska Parameswari temple at Chital —
— drug, I have the honor to forward
herewith, the entire record ending with
yadi no 1155 dated 31st May 1911 from
the Assistant Commissioner Chitaldrug
Sub Division and to state that it

is

is reported that it is not possible to effect any Compromise between the hereditary Pujari and the Vaisyas of the locality who alone are the devotees of the institution They have now appointed one of the Sons of the deceased Pujari as the priest of the Temple

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedient Servant
K Chandy
Deputy Commissioner
Ry Chitaldrug District

Dft as under

G.R.S. 26] 45/46

File No. 1/12-08

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. 404 - Emp/Rel Dy
Mus & 672-08-14

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *19* .

To

11—8—1911

The Dy Commr,
Chidambaram Distt

Date of issue

Signed by

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter no 31 Dy 18th July 1911 about the Archakship of the Sri Kannika Parameswari temple at Chidambaram, I am directed to observe that if the devotees do not come to terms with the hereditary Archaka's family, the Govt may have

over.

SUBJECT.

5)

to shut out the nominee
of the devotees from worshipping
in the temple. and to enquire
what you would propose in
the matter

2) The Revn records on the
subject are herewith returned
for reference and re-submn

I have etc.

(Vs. order) Sd/- 5/8/[illegible]

Pte. 5/8/11 for Dy. Secretary

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—23-9-10

VII Upper 1912

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Controlling Officer

To Mr. Secretary to the Govt of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments

Dated 7 4 12 (Telegram Branch

Despatched 3. 4 12 Bangalore

Received

General No 428
No.
:
Enclosure No. 1 Rle

(Re) Reporting about the
Archakship of the Sri
Kamukapara mosque temple
at Chitaldroog

DIARY No. 1575

19 11-19 12
SECTION Misc
FILE No. 672-08
SERIAL No. 15

G.I.B.-1,50,000 20-6-11

No 428 / File VP

Office of the De uty

Chitaldrug Distr

Dated Chitaldrug, 2nd April 1912.

3

From

V. Chandy Esquire B. A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Chitaldroog.

To

T. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esquire B. A.,

Officiating Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maraja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments(Muzrai),

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 404/Muz D. 672-07-14 dated the 11th August 1911 about the Archakship of the Sri Ramiha-rajaru swami temple at Chitaldrug, I have the honor to state that the devotees of the temple have executed a muchalka to the effect that they wish to have a pujari who would always reside at Chitaldrug and who would attend to the special prayers which the devotees are accustomed to offer at the time of marriage, Upanayanam and such other religious functions in their families. If the hakdar viz Narasajois is prepared to reside at Chitaldrug and worship the diety himself and to delegate the work to his relations Chennabhatta, Venkatagiri Jois and others who are always inimical to the religious feelings of the devotees, they have the least objection to his having being nominated as the pujaryship. Otherwise, they desire to make their own selection and submit for the orders of Government.

2 Narasajois has since appeared on 21st February 1912 before the Amildar and executed a muchalka to the effect that he would work as proposed by the devotees and that he might be removed from the office if he were to fail to give satisfaction in his work, the charge of the institution has been entrusted to him agreeably to the wishes of the devotees headed by Mr. Ananthe Chetty, Advocate.

The file of correspondence ending with No. 875 dated 2nd March 1912 received from the Assistant Commissioner, Chitaldrug Division, is herewith enclosed.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your obedient servant,

M. Chaudhry

for Deputy Commissioner,

S.V. Chitaldrug.

2/3/12
C

1575/1915

B A 870

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 672-08

Serial No. 16

Diary No. of letter replied to

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

the 19

Date of issue 20-5-1912

Signed by for R. Ramachandra Ayyar

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

READ—

Con Petn D 1479 dy 4-5-09 from Narasa Jois and Thimma Bhatta, Archks of the Sri Kannikaparame-swara Swami temple at-

ORDER THEREON.—No. Stating that the Dept authorities have removed them from the Archkship and this Govt prayers for their restoration to the Archkship and for the payment of the arrears of pay due to them &c

over.

SUBJECT.

GPL—10,000 29-7-10

~~Petn of 2-3-10 from~~

DK Narasimha Setty and other Vaishya residents of Chitaldroog protesting against the ~~Statement that they prefer~~ employment of the Archaks ~~would not be taken up~~ with the discontinuance of the tasdik paid by Govt. to the people, to their ~~that the~~ permitting the petitioners ~~appellants~~ who are inimical to them, ~~to continue as Archaks~~, and praying ~~that~~ ~~there~~ ~~nominees~~ for the confirmation of their nominee:

3. Correspondence ending with letter No 428 of 2nd April 1912, from the D.C. Chitaldroog District, stating that Narasa Jois has since appeared and executed a Muchalika to the effect that he would

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form*)

work as proposed by the devotees and that he might be removed from the office if he ~~were to~~ should fail to give satisfaction in his work, and that the charge of the temple has been entrusted to him agreeably to the wishes of the devotees headed by Sri. Ananta Reddy Advocate

No 2836 — Encl. to Dy
Mus 672-08-15

Order thereon

No.

Recorded

Dy (79) — for Offg Leg

Do

The Dy Govt Hital Trustee & Asst Secy for papers

15 10000—22-9-1

No 2837

Mur 672-08-17

20/5/1912 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1479 / 4.5.09 [illegible]

[illegible]

By Order

for Secy

(79)

No 2838 dt 20/5/1972

mm672-08-17



RV No 2074

19/1-19 12

672-08

8

a.v. 21/6/12